L	Hits	Search Text	DB	Time stamp
Number				2001 705 721
1	2	6134673.pn.	USPAT;	2001/05/31
			EPO; JPO;	12:09
			DERWENT;	
_		6124672	IBM TDB	2001 /05 /21
7	0	6134673.pn. and preserv\$3	USPAT;	2001/05/31
			EPO; JPO;	12:09
			DERWENT;	
		6100100	IBM TDB	2001 (05 (21
13	1	6182198.pn. and preserv\$3	USPAT; EPO; JPO;	2001/05/31 12:10
			DERWENT;	12:10
			IBM TDB	
1.0	ا ا	5951695.pn. and preserv\$3	USPAT;	2001/05/31
19	١	5951695.pn. and preserves	EPO; JPO;	12:10
	l		DERWENT;	12:10
			IBM TDB	
0.5		5968185.pn. and preserv\$3	USPAT;	2001/05/31
25	"	5966165.pm. and preservas	EPO; JPO;	12:11
			DERWENT;	12.11
			IBM TDB	
31	ا ۾ ا	5983360.pn. and preserv\$3	USPAT;	2001/05/31
31	"	3303300.pm. and preservys	EPO; JPO;	12:11
		•	DERWENT;	12.11
			IBM TDB	
37	29546	(714/\$).ccls.	USPAT;	2001/05/31
] 3 /	29340	(/14/0/.0013.	EPO; JPO;	12:11
			DERWENT;	12.11
			IBM TDB	
43	٦	((714/\$).ccls.) and preserv\$3 same	USPAT;	2001/05/31
43		process same (failure or error\$1) same	EPO; JPO;	12:17
		(diagnos\$3 or analy\$4)	DERWENT;	
		(dragnoses or anaryer)	IBM TDB	
49	3213	(714/25,1,2,6,9,11,13,15,25,33,37,742,759,		s2001/05/31
"		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EPO; JPO;	12:22
			DERWENT;	
			IBM TDB	
55	8	((714/25,1,2,6,9,11,13,15,25,33,37,742,759	, 099A80 5).cd	12:00,1/05/31
		and (fail\$3 or error) same (diagnos\$ or	EPO; JPO;	12:32
		analyz\$3) same preserv\$3	DERWENT;	
			IBM TDB	
61	1021	(714/47,48,49,4625).ccls.	USPAT;	2001/05/31
			EPO; JPO;	12:33
			DERWENT;	
			IBM TDB	
67	1		USPAT;	2001/05/31
1		or error\$1) same diagnos\$4 same anal\$	EPO; JPO;	12:35
1			DERWENT;	
1			IBM TDB	0001/05/01
68	52		USPAT;	2001/05/31
1		same analy\$3	EPO; JPO;	12:41
1			DERWENT;	
1	_	#50# d	IBM TDB	2001/05/21
74	1	"52" and preserv\$3	USPAT;	2001/05/31 12:41
			EPO; JPO;	12:41
1	}		DERWENT; IBM TDB	
00	_	 "52" and database	USPAT;	2001/05/31
80	0	52 and database	EPO; JPO;	12:42
	1		DERWENT;	10.16
	1		IBM TDB	
86	12	(((714/47,48,49,4625).ccls.) and	USPAT;	2001/05/31
""	12	diagnos\$4 same analy\$3) and database	EPO; JPO;	12:42
		alagiost same analys, and advance	DERWENT;	
			IBM TDB	
L	L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

L	Hits	Search Text	DB	Time stamp
Number				
1	2873	(714/25, 26, 27, 31, 33, 34, 41, 42, 48, 6, 8, 9, 11, 1		2001/05/30
			EPO; JPO;	17:47
			DERWENT;	
			IBM TDB	
7	59	((714/25,26,27,31,33,34,41,42,48,6,8,9,11,		
		and (fault or fail\$3 or error\$1) same	EPO; JPO;	19:03
		(backup or back adj up) same (server or	DERWENT;	
		computer or workstation) same (database	IBM TDB	
13	14	φ± (app125a26o25131, 33, 34, 41, 42, 48, 6, 8, 9, 11	, WSPAB).ccls	.2001/05/30
		and (fault or fail\$3 or error\$1) same	EPO; JPO;	19:05
		(backup or back adj up) same (server or	DERWENT;	
		computer or workstation) same (database	IBM TDB	
		or application\$1)) and (freez or idle)		
19	14	\$4m21p205e86\$27,31,33,34,41,42,48,6,8,9,11	, WSPAG).ccls	
İ		and (fault or fail\$3 or error\$1) same	EPO; JPO;	19:06
		(backup or back adj up) same (server or	DERWENT;	
		computer or workstation) same (database	IBM TDB	
		or application\$1)) and (freez\$ or idle)		

L Number	Hits		DB	Time stamp
1	0	dbms same (backup adj server) same	USPAT	2001/05/30 14:37
		identif\$7 same (freez\$ or idle or		
		suspend\$2) same process\$3		
2	0	dbms same (backup adj server) same	USPAT	2001/05/30 14:38
		identif\$7 same (freez\$ or idle or		
		suspend\$2)		
3	0		USPAT	2001/05/30 14:39
		identif\$7 same (freez\$ or idle or		
		suspend\$2)		
4	2		USPAT	2001/05/30 14:46
	_	(freez\$ or idle or suspend\$2)		0001 (05 (00 14 50
5	5	(backup near5 server) same (freez\$ or idle	USPAT	2001/05/30 14:52
	_	or suspend\$2) same fail\$3		2001 (05 (20 15 04
6	4		USPAT	2001/05/30 15:04
7		(714/\$).ccls.	USPAT	2001/05/30 15:04
8	204		USPAT	2001/05/30 15:05
	4.0	same (backup or back up) same server	USPAT	2001/05/30 15:19
9	13		USPAT	2001/05/30 15:19
		same (backup or back up) same server) and		
	_	dbms	USPAT	2001/05/30 15:24
10	5	1 (USPAI	2001/05/30 15:24
		same access\$ same second same (server or		
	420	computer)	USPAT	2001/05/30 15:24
11	430		USPAT	2001/05/30 15:27
12	1	((714/25).ccls.) and fail\$3 same analy\$3	USPAI	2001/03/30 13:27
1,,	•	same (backup or back adj up)	USPAT	2001/05/30 15:28
13	1	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	USPAI	2001/03/30 15:28
1		same access\$3 same database	<u> </u>	

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5170480 A TITLE: Concurrently applying redo records to backup database in a log sequence using single queue server per queue at a time

DEPR:

Once commutated to the queues 66, the REDO records are applied to the tracking database 72 by the queue servers. For example, the REDO records in queue b are obtained, one-by-one, by the queue server 68 and then used to update their respective pages. The sequence in which these changes occurred in the active system is preserved on the active transaction log 53, through the record transfer process to the tracking system 60, and on the active log data set 62. The records are hashed in their record log sequence onto the record queues 66. Consequently, the correct chronological update activity for each unit of transfer (page) is reflected by the REDO record sequence on the queue associated with the unit of transfer.

DEPR:

FIG. 4 illustrates the best mode of practicing the invention described above.

In FIG. 4, a REDO record 105 is passed to a process QUEUE.sub.-- REDO.sub.-RECORD 110. The process 110 passes the REDO record 105 to the appropriate queue, while preserving the original transaction log sequence to ensure a correct database. The process 110 includes a hashing procedure that divides the record block number (RBN) of the REDO record 105 by a number n in a data object 122 which is labelled NUMBER.sub.-- OF.sub.--

OUEUES. The index obtained is used with a WORK.sub.-- QUEUE.sub.-- TABLE 123 to identify a specific WORK.sub.-- QUEUE.sub.-- ENTRY 125. indexed WORK.sub.--QUEUE.sub.-- ENTRY 125 has pointers 127 and 129 to the last and first REDO record 130 in the particular record queue headed by the WORK.sub.--OUEUE.sub.-- ENTRY 125. The WORK.sub.-- QUEUE.sub.--ENTRY 125 also includes a SERVER.sub.-- PROCESS 128 pointing to a PROCESS.sub.--INFO control block for a queue server process 175. The queue server process 175 is awakened by setting the RESUME.sub. -- STATUS flag 172 in the PROCESS.sub. --INFO control block for the server. It is asserted that each queue server has its own PROCESS.sub.--INFO control block, and that each WORK.sub. --OUEUE.sub.-- ENTRY identifies only a single queue server by way of its SERVER.sub.--PROCESS field.

CCXR: 714/16

CCXR: 714/20

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5828569 A
TITLE: Method and apparatus for maintaining network
connections across a
voluntary process switchover

BSPR:

This invention relates to a method and apparatus for maintaining network connections across a voluntary process switchover. "takeover" or "switchover" is defined as a switch between processors in a dual processor environment, where one processor backs up the other so that in the event of a failure the backup processor takes over the responsibilities of the primary processor. In the past, network connections between applications that are coordinated through an application running in the primary processor have been lost during takeovers or switchovers. The present invention is directed to enhancing a smooth transition during takeovers, preferably during voluntary takeovers, so that no connections between server and client applications are lost.

DEPR:

One focus of the present invention is the checkpointing of certain data during a voluntary switch between primary and backup nodes of a protocol process node, also known more generally as a "takeover" or "switchover". A "takeover" or "switchover" is defined as a switch, either voluntary or involuntary, between processors such as found in primary and backup nodes 22, 24. In such a switchover the backup processor of the protocol process

processor takes over the duties of the primary processor. Involuntary takeovers can occur in a variety of ways, usually unexpected, such as if the primary processor is damaged or data lines to the primary processor are corrupted. Voluntary takeovers are "planned for" takeovers, and can occur by human intervention (such as during load balancing) or automatically after some event (such as after the failure of certain hardware ports). Furthermore, after a voluntary switchover where the primary processor has not been damaged, and whenever possible, the backup node becomes the primary node, and the primary node backs The present invention allows for a up the backup node. smooth transition during takeovers, preferably during voluntary takeovers, so that no connections between server and client applications are lost. Performing a checkpoint will increase the probability of maintaining a connection between a server application and a client application in the event of a switchover.

DEPR:

In the present invention, the ACCEPT() function call need not be checkpointed after it has been first called by the SPX server application. The absence of checkpointing at this stage is indicated by the absence of arrows at reference number 72 in FIG. 2., indicative of the lack of communication between the primary and backup nodes at this time. Thus the server application communicates with the primary processor that the ACCEPT() function has been executed, as per arrow 70, but no checkpointing of data relating to the

ACCEPT() function call occurs at this point. In the present invention the checkpointing of the ACCEPT function call after it has been called is not needed because in the event of a failure of the primary processor at this point the server node would automatically redirect its requests to the backup processor, because it runs under the fault tolerant Nonstop kernel. The automatic redirection of requests to the backup processor is also described in the U.S. patent application entitled "Network System with Resilient Virtual Fault Tolerant Sessions" by Marc Desgrousilliers, commonly assigned, filed concurrently with the present invention and incorporated by reference herein.

DEPR:

However, in a preferred embodiment of the present invention, as represented by FIG. 4, even in the case of a such a voluntary switchover a connection is not in fact maintained if any data packets are being queued by the primary protocol process -- which correspond to data packets waiting to be read by the SPX server, or data packets waiting to be transmitted to the client node application -- that is, if the SPX server/ protocol process application connection is "non idle". Since in most instances a connection is "idle" anyway, for the most part there is no need to plan for "non idle" states. This is because typically no queue is present while a server application is reading, and the transmission of data packets between the protocol processor and client application is efficient enough that relatively little retransmission and waiting for acknowledgement of data occurs. However, from the above teaching one can

modify the FIG. 4 embodiment of practicing the present invention so that even queued data is checkpointed just prior to a voluntary takeover, thus obviating the distinction between "idle" and "non-idle" server/ protocol processor application connections, and allowing connections between client and server applications to always be maintained in a voluntary takeover, when in the SEND() and RECV() states.

DEPR:

At step 250, the server application confirms that the server application is an SPX server (sequential packet exchange server), and if not, there is no need to proceed and the server application exits (step 252). If the server is a SPX server, the application proceeds to the ACCEPT() function (step 255), which is a function that puts an SPX server application in a state in which it awaits to receive data from a client application. A takeover at this point would allow the backup node to takeover the primary node functions, with the understanding that there is no need to checkpoint an ACCEPT() function, because the NonStop kernel that the protocol process node runs under would automatically redirect requests directed to the primary node processor 26 to the backup processor 46 (step 265). The automatic redirection of requests to the backup processor is also described in the U.S. patent application entitled "Network System with Resilient Virtual Fault Tolerant Sessions" by Marc Desgrousilliers, commonly assigned, filed concurrently with the present invention and incorporated by reference herein.

DEPR:

Referring now to FIG. 4, which relates to SPX servers, typically the bulk of an application's time is spent not in establishing an endpoint or socket, but rather in sending and receiving data packets (step 290). After the connection has been established between client and server applications, the data that relates to the establishment of the connection is checkpointed (step 290). In the event of a takeover during the sending and receiving of data (step 295), if the network connection between the applications is in a connected state (step 297) and the connection between server and primary applications is "idle" (step 301), then the backup node may seamlessly and transparently assume the primary nodes responsibilities (step 305). Otherwise, if the applications are not connected or the connection is non-idle, the relevant sockets are reset (step 310). An idle connection is as defined above, and relates to the absence of a queue of data packets in the protocol process application.

CLPV:

checkpointing, when the protocol process application is not idle, transmitted packets from the primary node to the backup node.

CCXR:

714/13

05/31/2001, EAST Version: 1.02.0008